

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/554,062	MAGERL ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
JAN CHRISTOPHER MERENE	3733	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) JAN CHRISTOPHER MERENE. (3) _____.
 (2) Sheridan Neimark. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 July 2009

Time: 3:00 p.m.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

18, 2-3, 7, 9-10 12, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

There was discussion to modify the limitations in claim 10 and bring it into claim 18 so that claim 18 can overcome the prior art. To clarify the claimed subject matter, there was discussion to cancel claims 2, 7, 9. There was also discussion to amend any other claims for clarity and overcome any 101 or 112 issues.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jan Christopher Merene/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3733

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

